

Buchanan Ingersoll PC
ATTORNEYS**Facsimile Cover****RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 13 2006****Buchanan Ingersoll PC including the
attorneys of
Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis, L.L.P.**
Suite 500
1737 King Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-2727
Telephone: +1.703.836.6620
Group 3 Fax: +1.703.836.2021
Group 4 Fax: +1.703.836.0028
www.bipc.com

The information contained in this facsimile message is or may be attorney-client privileged and contains confidential information intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named below and others expressly authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is prohibited and you are asked to notify us immediately by telephone and to return this message to us by mail without copying it. Any questions regarding compatibility should be directed to our Office Services Department at +1.703.836.6620.

Date: March 13, 2006**TO:** **United States Patent and
Trademark Office****Fax Number:** 571-273-8300**Company:** **United States Patent and
Trademark Office****Telephone:****Application No.:** 10/626,756**FROM:** **Martin E. Miller****Our Reference:** 1032674-000200**Telephone:** 703-836-6620**Sent By:** **Tiffany Jessup****Number of Pages** 3
Including Cover:**Message**

MAR 13 2006 Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 1032674-000200

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	
Kevin M. Traynor et al.)	Group Art Unit: 2111
Application No.: 10/626,756)	Examiner: Justin King
Filed: July 24, 2003)	Confirmation No.: 1739
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR)	
INTERRUPT MAPPING)	
)	
)	

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This submission provides proposed discussion points for an interview to be held with the Examiner.

Attorney's Docket No. 1032674-000200Application No. 10/626,756

Page 2

Proposed Discussion Points for Examiner Interview March 13, 2006

1. With reference to Applicant's claims 1 and 7 and to Figure 4 of Suh, the limitation of "mapping each of the plurality of interrupt sources to each of the plurality of interrupt inputs" is not disclosed or suggested. Suh discloses only interrupt sources "is0-is5" being input into the first round robin arbiter 41. Interrupt sources "is0-is5" are not input into each of a plurality of interrupt inputs as recited in the claim. Neither the figure nor the disclosure discloses each of interrupt sources being mapped to each of the interrupt inputs.


2. The "Participate" signal of Prenn does not disclose "selectively enabling interrupt requests from each of the plurality of interrupt sources to one or more of the plurality of interrupt inputs." The "Participate" signal is provided to the Product term generator 252, Summary term generator 206 and selection circuit 208, which are not involved in the generation of the interrupt request IRQ.

Should any questions arise in connection with this application, the undersigned respectfully requests that he be contacted at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC

Date: March 13, 2006

By: 
Martin E. Miller
Registration No. 56,022

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

VA 852624.1